



Board Meeting

Thursday, November 18, 2021

9:00 am – 11:00 am

Join via Zoom by clicking [HERE](#)

Passcode: 2021

Via Zoom Phone: 1-669-900-9128

Meeting ID: 846 6948 9038

Passcode: 2021

AGENDA

- | | | | |
|----|-------|---|--|
| 1) | 9:00 | Call to Order & Agenda Review | Vice Chair,
Mayor Jim Lepin |
| 2) | 9:10 | Roll Call | Staff,
Justin Peterson |
| 3) | 9:20 | Public Comment | Vice Chair |
| 4) | 9:25 | Minutes of September 30, 2021 Meeting (Attachment A) | Vice Chair |
| | | ACTION: Approval of Minutes | |
| 5) | 9:30 | Economic Development Ecosystem Map
<i>The Institute for Policy Research and Engagement (IPRE) has been working on a Statewide Economic Development Ecosystem Map. The Ecosystem Map will be a valuable resource for our region to understand the existing economic development groups and economic development service gaps.</i> | Visitor, Melissa
Graciosa |
| 6) | 10:00 | Discussion: CEDS Working Groups
<i>The CWEDD Board will discuss potential working groups. Staff compiled an initial list below. At the next CWEDD meeting we anticipate deciding what groups should be official workgroups of the CEDS.</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• <i>Broadband Action Team (BAT) – Rachael Maddox Hughes with Sequoia Consulting will provide an update on the Broadband Feasibility Study.</i>• <i>Cascades West Regional Consortium (CWRC) – Chris Workman CWRC Chair</i>• <i>Early Childhood Education – Anne Whittington and Regional Partner Updates</i>• <i>Other workgroup ideas? Business Technical Assistance? Workforce?</i> | Staff,
Jenny Glass and
Brenda Wilson |

- 7) 10:45 **Other Business** **Vice Chair/Staff**
- *Community Updates*
 - *Workforce – Dean Craig – Willamette Workforce Partnership, Heather DeSart – Northwest Oregon Works, and Cindy Perry – Lane Workforce Partnership*
 - *Small Business Development Centers (SBDCs)*
 - *Economic Development Practitioners*
 - *Business Oregon*
 - *What projects are you looking to fund? What grants is your jurisdiction applying for?*
 - *2022 Meeting Schedule Discussion (Attachment B)*
- 8) 11:00 **Adjournment** **Vice Chair**
Next Meeting: February 10, 2022

CWEDD BOARD MEETING
Thursday, September 30, 2021
9:00 – 11:00 am
Zoom Remote Connection

DRAFT MINUTES

(Attendees: Please see meeting notes Attachment 1)

TOPIC	DISCUSSION	DECISION / CONCLUSION
1. Call to Order & Agenda Review		<p>Meeting called to order at 9:01 am by Chair Commissioner Heather Buch.</p> <p>Staff Justin Peterson conducted roll call.</p> <p>There were no changes to the agenda.</p>
2. Roll Call		Staff Justin Peterson conducted roll call.
3. Public Comments		There was no public comment.
4. Minutes of June 10, 2021	Kelly Hart moved to approve the June 10 th meeting minutes. Commissioner Pat Malone seconded the motion. Motion carried.	Consensus to approve the June 10, 2021 meeting minutes as presented.
5. Oregon Ocean Innovation Hub – Build Back Better Grant Application Letter of Support	<p>Staff Jenny Glass reference Attachment B; Letter of Support from Coalition Partner. Glass reported that this is a partnership that OCWCOG and CWEDD are joining. The work has been building over the past couple of years to establish Oregon ocean innovation hubs along the Oregon Coast. Partners have been coming together to support the effort.</p> <p>Paul Schuytema advised that the work has been going on for fourteen</p>	Consensus to approve the letter of support for the Oregon Ocean Innovation Hub.

	<p>to sixteen months. The effort is to bring in additional EDA funds when the latest round of AARP funding became available. The Build Back Better (BBB) is a regional grant program build around solidifying a specific sector of the economy. It is a two grant phase program with the first one being a technical assistance planning grant which allows the recipients of that grant to prepare for phase two. OSU will be the lead applicant for phase one and the umbrella organization for phase two.</p> <p>The project will build pathways to blue careers which blends together curriculums at Oregon’s community colleges. It will help develop new career programs and certifications that will work backwards into the high schools for career credits and through the stem hub (e.g. mechatronics, welding, etc.). The center component is innovation and entrepreneurship focusing into the blue accelerator model.</p> <p>RAIN will most likely be involved in phase two and the third phase is the economic development, retention, expansion, and building access to capital. This will all be under the umbrella of OSU and eventually become its own 501c3. At the end of the project, the cost is approximately \$80-100 million. It is a coast wide effort.</p> <p>Melissa Murphy with Business Oregon stated that the blue economy is a statewide effort.</p> <p>Caroline Cummings with RAIN advised that they have been in partnership for this work and the work that they are doing includes the entire Oregon coast and the discussed project is key to the entire effort.</p> <p>Mayor Jim Lepin moved to approve the letter of support. Commissioner Pat Malone seconded the motion. Motion carried.</p>	
<p>6. CWEDD Bylaws Update and Executive Committee Call for</p>	<p>Glass reported that over the past few months, the group has been discussing an update to the bylaws to include an Executive Committee to be able to work in between Board meetings and attend to urgent</p>	<p>Staff to update the bylaws based on the discussion.</p>

<p>Volunteers</p>	<p>matters as well as assist with Board agenda development. Glass moved on to review the draft bylaws updates with members.</p> <p>Greg James stated that the updates looks good, the action makes sense, and it gives a level of authority while tying back to the larger Board which is very thoughtful. He did notice a typo on section 3c “allocation” to “a location”. James suggested adding language to include virtual meeting language.</p> <p>Pam Barlow-Lind stated that the bylaws update may be a good time to develop policies on the exercise of power for the Executive Committee and bring back for Board review in order to not clutter up the bylaws.</p> <p><i>Greg James moved for approval with additional language as discussed. Motion seconded by Greg Ervin. Motion carried.</i></p> <p>Glass noted that the CWEDD now has the authority to create an Executive Committee. The committee is made up of the Chair and Vice Chair of the CWEDD Board and three additional members. Glass asked for three volunteers who would like to serve on the committee.</p> <p>Commissioner Malone volunteered Kate Porsche who gladly accepted. Paul Schuytema volunteered. Greg James suggested Randy Groves whom also accepted. Groves noted that he believes in the work and is happy to serve.</p> <p><i>Greg James moved to approve the CWEDD Executive Committee membership as discussed. Commissioner Malone seconded the motion. Motion carried.</i></p>	<p>CWEDD Executive Committee members include:</p> <p>Commissioner Heather Buch, Mayor Jim Lepin, Kate Porsche, Paul Schuytema, and Randy Groves</p>
<p>7. July 8th Meeting Recap and Approval to add CWEDD Metrics Framework to CEDS Appendix</p>	<p>Peterson reported there were approximately eight attendees at the July meeting. Aniko Drlik-Muehleck with the Institute for Policy Research and Engagement provided a presentation on the metrics framework and CEDS Appendix.</p> <p>The metrics framework looks at how resilience could be measured and included a county profile. It provides a good overview from each of the</p>	<p>Consensus to approve adding the metrics framework as an appendix to the CEDS</p>

	<p>four counties. There are eight questions that were developed to measure resilience. The goal is to add the metrics as an appendix item to the CEDS document in addition to the RAIN amendments that were previously approved.</p> <p>Paul Schuytema noted that he attended the July meeting and that it was exciting to see the type of research to put together what these metrics would be. A lot of it was anecdotal and not a surprise but through the data, it made you think of things in a different way that hadn't originally thought about. Schuytema supports adding it to the CEDS and noted that it will be a useful tool.</p> <p>Commissioner Malone moved to approve adding the metrics framework as an appendix to the CEDS. Paul Schuytema seconded the motion. Motion carried.</p> <p>Chair Buch returned to the previous agenda item in order for the Board to approve the CWEDD Executive Committee membership.</p>	
<p>8. Regional Priorities Survey</p>	<p>Peterson provided an overview of the regional priorities survey results. He noted that the discussion will lead into the working group's discussion.</p> <p>The survey was sent out to approximately 280 economic development members and stakeholders. The goal of the survey was to engage members and stakeholders to better inform the half day workshop that was unfortunately cancelled. Additionally, the intent was to better understand the economic development priorities in the region, and highlight what is already being done. Of the 280 survey recipients, 27 responses were received from all four counties.</p> <p>Survey Highlights:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The top five priority areas identified were; Business Retention and Expansion, Regional Collaboration and Partnerships, Economic Resilience, Infrastructure Resilience, and infrastructure Expansion. • There were fourteen respondents who indicated they already 	

	<p>use economic indicators and some stated they would use the CWEDD resiliency metrics developed by IPRE.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local and state data sources already available include Housing Needs Assessment, Economic Opportunities Analysis, Oregon Employment Department Data, and Census Data. <p>Peterson went on to report that through the survey, staff was also able to identify economic development regional collaborative groups. Peterson shared the groups identified via zoom. He noted that during the breakout groups; additional groups may be identified.</p> <p>Greg James asked if non-profits will also be listed and stated that it might be useful to identify them under the “Other” section. Peterson stated that those groups can be included as well.</p> <p>Commissioner Malone stated that he is interested in a coordinated effort on wildfire intervention. Randy Groves supports Commissioner Malone’s interest as it is important to try to project businesses and community which directly affects the growth of the economy. He also noted that Onward Eugene is a group that may be added to the “Other” list.</p> <p>Mayor Lepin asked to include AMEDC to the list. He noted that AMEDC is the Albany-Millersburg Economic Develop Corporation. The intent is to grow the organization into a county wide economic development organization in Linn County. Mayor Lepin stated that there is a lot of work to achieve this but would like AMEDC to be noted in the running list.</p> <p>Katie Porsche wanted to ensure that her understanding was identifying regional economic development work. Peterson stated that while the intent was to identify regional groups; that would also include local groups. Kate Porsche moved on state that it would be helpful to have a list to be able to expand out the “Other” category. She noted that a google form might work for members to add to the list.</p>	
--	---	--

	<p>Melissa Murphy with Business Oregon stated that it is exciting that AMEDC will take a more active role in Linn County; however, she wanted to ensure that Mayor Lepin and AMEDC realize that there is a group of rural communities (the MVP) that have been organizing for the last 2-3 years and they are ready to discuss what they've built. Murphy reiterated that she wants to ensure that those that are building out Ledge, realize that there is an EDO currently being built for the rural Linn Count communities. To this, Mayor Lepin responded that he is aware of the MVP. John Pascone added that he is also aware.</p> <p>Peterson moved on with the survey overview.</p> <p>Survey Highlights:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Completed economic development work: CEDS, Corvallis/Benton 2 year work plan, local Strategic Plan work, RAIN recovery and resiliency programs, support from IPRE, connecting businesses and entrepreneurs with resources, and budgeting for raining day funds. • Key improvements in the area of resilience: broadband expansion, diversity in business/industry (manufacturing), business registration program, more collaboration and business networking, housing, and rural vitality. • Examples of short term economic development projects: Incubators and Startups, Business and Workforce Support, More Data, Infrastructure Projects, and Technical Assistance in Planning and Other Areas. Respondents also listed projects currently being completed which result in economic development. • Highest priority for funding: broadband and fiber optics, affordable housing, water and sewer improvements, space for startup companies, blue economy development, transportation system plans, increased staffing, etc. • Do not have enough resources in the following areas: funding, broadband, affordable housing, childcare, transportation options, etc. • ARPA Funds: infrastructure; water and sewer, payback of 	
--	---	--

	<p>coronavirus expenses already incurred, pending decisions.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dedicated economic development staff: 1.8 average with some jurisdictions not have any economic development staff. • Final respondent thought: need more data, economic development takes dedicated funding, workforce investment/development are key components of economic development, Oregon needs to take action on high cost of housing and limited ability to develop large business expansion, CWEDD plays a unique role in helping mobilize EDA funds <p>Key takeaways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Top 3 priority areas were Economic Resilience, Regional Collaboration and Partnerships, and Business Retention and Expansion • Need to improve aging infrastructure and extend infrastructure to industrial properties • Broadband • Many jurisdictions are evaluating how economic development is funded • Many small communities have no dedicated economic development staff or only a few staff • Economic development and infrastructure development work together <p>Next steps:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Workgroups Discussions • Half Day Workshop <p>Randy Groves asked if there are any projects underway in the four counties that the goal is to build shovel ready sites for business development. Murphy stated that the funds received in this region are water/wastewater projects. Groves noted that in Lane County there are sites that are not shovel ready and it seems it would be something to consider as a strategy. Murphy responded that industrial lands shovel readiness is a major priority of the RST and something they</p>	
--	--	--

	work on almost in a daily basis. She went on to advise that at this point, it is not a matter of competing with other shovel ready sites and that is due to none of the sites being shovel ready to be developed due to wetlands and infrastructure issues. The barriers to getting the industrial sites ready for recruitment and expansion are almost all on the same level. To this, Groves responded that he is thinking of state competition. Murphy agreed that that is the competition. Sara Means with Regional Solutions responded that she does not find any funds that are going specifically to industrial sites.	
9. Discussion of CED Working Groups	Members were split into four virtual groups with a list of questions. The breakout groups will assist with identifying potential CEDS working groups, identify group leads, identify gaps, and identify priorities. Members returned to the main Board group and presented their findings (<i>see Attachment B to minutes</i>).	Please meeting notes Attachment 2.
10. Other Business	Mayor Lepin asked for a list of today's attendees.	Staff will email out a list of attendees.
11. Adjournment		Meeting adjourned at 10:55 am.

November 30, 2021 Minutes; Attachment 1

Name	Title	Agency	Email
CWEDD Staff			
Jenny Glass	CED Director	OCWCOG	jglass@ocwcog.org
Justin Peterson	CED Planner	OCWCOG	jpeterson@ocwcog.org
Anne Whittington	Economic Recovery Coordinator	OCWCOG	awhittington@ocwcog.org
Emma Chavez	Operations Supervisor	OCWCOG	echavez@ocwcog.org
Brenda Wilson	Executive Director	LCOG	bwilson@lcog.org
Daniel Betschart	Program Manager	LCOG	dbetschart@lcog.org
CWEDD Board Members			
Pat Malone	Commissioner	Benton County	patrick.malone@co.benton.or.us
Paul Schuytema	Executive Director	Economic Development Alliance of Lincoln County	paul@businesslincolncounty.com
Pam Barlow Lind	Tribal Planner	Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians	pamela@ctsi.nsn.us
Alan Rowe	City Councilor	City of Adair Village	arowe.ret@gmail.com
Chris Workman	City Manager	City of Philomath	chris.workman@philomathoregon.gov
Jim Lepin	Mayor	City of Millersburg	jlepin@cityofmillersburg.org
Kate Porsche	Economic Development	City of Corvallis	kate.porsche@corvallisoregon.gov
John Pascone	President	Albany Millersburg Economic Development Corp.	pasconj@peak.org
Kelly Hart	Community Dev. Director	City of Lebanon	cityhallmeetings@lebanonoregon.gov
Sherrie Sprenger	Commissioner	Linn County	ssprenger@co.linn.or.us
Matt Michel	City Manager	City of Veneta	mmichel@ci.veneta.or.us
Heather Buch	Commissioner	Lane County	heather.buch@lanecountyor.gov
Greg Ervin	City Councilor	City of Cottage Grove	greg@ervinit.com
Greg James	Board Member	WillamaLane Parks and Recreation District	gregajames@me.com
Randy Groves	City Councilor	City of Eugene	RGroves@Eugene-or.gov
Other Attendees			
Cindy Perry	Director of Workforce Investments	Lane Workforce Partnership	cindy@laneworkforce.org
Cole Haselip	Management Analyst	City of Veneta	
Courtney Griesel	Economic Development Director	City of Springfield	cgriesel@springfield-or.gov
Heather DeSart	Executive Director	Northwest Oregon Works	heather@onwib.org
Joe Samaniego	City Manager	City of Tangent	jsamaniego@cityoftangent.org
Melissa Murphy	Regional Development Officer	Business Oregon	Melissa.Murphy@oregon.gov
Darren Utt	Marketing and Communications Lead	RAIN	darren@hyperscalemarketing.com
Jennifer Damiani	Development and Operations Director	RAIN	jendamiani@gmail.com
Nate Conroy	Linn and Benton Venture Catalyst	RAIN	nate@oregonrain.org
Caroline Cummings	Chief Executive Director	RAIN	caroline@oregonrain.org
Alysia Rodgers	Economic Development Catalyst	City of Lebanon	arodgers@ci.lebanon.or.us
Austin Ramirez	CED Manager	Lane County	austin.ramirez@co.lane.or.us
Paula Miranda	General Manager	Port of Newport	pmiranda@portofnewport.com
Sarah Means	South Valley/ Mid Coast Coordinator	Regional Solutions	Sarah.Means@oregon.gov
Jessica Andrade	City Councilor	City of Philomath	jessica.andrade@philomathoregon.gov

Breakout Room 1:

- Staff Jenny Glass – OCWCOG
- Daniel Betschart – LCOG
- John Pascone – AMEDC
- Matt Michel – City of Veneta (note taker)
- Greg Ervin – City of Cottage Grove
- Cindy Perry – Lane Workforce
- Darren Utt – RAIN
- Austin Ramirez – Lane County

Breakout Group Discussion (20 minutes):

GROUP 1

- 1.) How does the survey data align with CEDS priorities? (5 mins)
(Regional collaboration & partnerships; Sector diversification through business development; Infrastructure resilience; Workforce support; Rural Vitality)

Average 1.7 years experience in ED shows need to bring next generation of ED professionals forward

Big disconnect in coordinating with private business and public bodies. Language, needs barriers. Intangible actions/results. Connecting strategy to action. Loans measured by jobs is a tangible example. For example, RAIN provides networking opportunities for resources, but there's an impression in business world that consultants will lead to more expenses. For example, land use related ED programs have red tape. Are there state or federal level options?

Sectoral community groups like Community Collaborative in Lane County, is meant to support business development so important to understand business needs form workforce.

- 2.) What existing groups are advancing these priorities? Who are the champions of these priorities? Could these be working groups of the CEDS? (5 mins)

Good idea, but so many groups not all known across the 4-county region. Does the group make-up represent all areas of region?

Broadband Action Team is huge opportunity (Linn, Benton, Lincoln) meeting regularly to discuss access and infrastructure. Could be larger resource group for CWEDD group to share information via liaison for CWEDD and Lane County. . LCOG has regional fiber hub for Lane County. Lincoln County potential fiber entrepreneur could be a connection, too. Jenny to share list of BAT members. Sign off on letters of support for federal funding.

- 3.) What groups are needed that don't exist? (5 mins)
<<skipped>>

- 4.) What is something you can individually do (in under 30 mins in the next 30 days) to advance these priorities? (5 mins)

Cottage Grove local business hiring. RAIN launching capital access platform (Kickstarter for businesses). Veneta working with Douglas FastNet to bring them north into Veneta and beyond.

Dutifully submitted,

Matt Michel
City of Veneta

Breakout Room 2:

- Staff Justin Peterson - OCWCOG
 - Nate Conroy – RAIN (note taker)
 - Joe Samaniego – City of Tangent
 - Chris Workman – City of Philomath
 - Melissa Murphy – Business Oregon
 - Paul Schuytema – EDALC
 - Courtney Griesel – City of Springfield Economic Development
- 1.) How does the survey data align with CEDS priorities? (5 mins)
(Regional collaboration & partnerships; Sector diversification through business development; Infrastructure resilience; Workforce support; Rural Vitality)
 - Clear value of CEDS
 - CEDS -- path to federal funding, must connect elected bodies (priorities) to the work
 - Big and shared challenges (hardest but worthwhile, like childcare) (BATS/United Way)
 - Role of group like this, have big voice around shared issues that need to be focused upon, could play fiscal agent role
 - i. EDD could play bigger role as collaborator, but challenge because multiple of things
 2. What existing groups are advancing these priorities? Who are the champions of these priorities? Could these be working groups of the CEDS? (5 mins)
 - Lots of groups, some need to know each other but some not (collaboration does exist but no need to force)
 - Like mapping of groups, goal to simplify - reduce redundancy if possible, maybe not new groups, but utilize those that exist
 - Same round robin in many groups. Don't need more groups.
 - Already a group meeting on childcare
 3. What groups are needed that don't exist? (5 mins)
 - Measuring health of local ecosystem; how define and bring in better data/metrics? Not one sized fits all – Caution
 - CWEDD group that is pushing things up to Salem
 - We live in a world with some redundancy. Element of herding cats. Map of groups.
 4. What is something you can individually do (in under 30 mins in the next 30 days) to advance these priorities? (5 mins)
 - Helpful to reflect on how local need fits into / aligns with regional priorities (may not align)
 - Carve out time to think about regional development
 - Need to engage elected officials-- include them in CEDS

Breakout Group 3:

- Anne Whittington – OCWCOG
- Brenda Wilson – LCOG
- Randy Groves – City of Eugene
- Kate Porsche – City of Corvallis/Benton County
- Jim Lepin – City of Millersburg
- Jennifer Damiani
- Alysia Rodgers
- Sarah Means

Breakout Group Discussion (20 minutes):

- 1.) How does the survey data align with CEDS priorities? (5 mins)
(Regional collaboration & partnerships; Sector diversification through business development; Infrastructure resilience; Workforce support; Rural Vitality)
 - Focus on collaboration; important to understand what others are doing; COG as a forum to understand what's happening – different organizations and who is doing what.
 - Childcare
 - Workforce
 - Industrial lands availability - especially large tracts of land; and understanding of what it will take to get it shovel ready
 - Identification of sites
 - What is necessary to get them shovel ready
 - Wetlands and key initiatives
- 2.) What existing groups are advancing these priorities? Who are the champions of these priorities? Could these be working groups of the CEDS? (5 mins)
 - Regional Wetlands Consortium
 - This group could be an immediate stand up group
 - Need to include Lane Co. folks
 - Would like the consortium to tie into the CWEDD; report out on progress/issues/needs – could elevate
 - Broadband action team
 - Double check to make sure that the right folks are at the table
 - LCOG is setting up a non-profit to help lead their broadband efforts;
 - MWV group – practitioners and elected officials
- 3.) What groups are needed that don't exist? (5 mins)
 - Industrial lands
 - Child care
 - Workforce
 - Getting people back to work
 - Teleworking policies?
 - Resiliency
 - Link to businesses – what are they hearing/needs – this group isn't at the table
 - Finding links to businesses

November 30, 2021 Meeting Attachment 2

- Using our practitioners and partners (like RAIN or our SBDCs) to report out and up to the broader group on themes, areas of concern, etc.

Our group talked about the need for the existing or new groups to have space to report out, perhaps standing room on the CWEDD meetings to report on efforts/needs

- 4.) What is something you can individually do (in under 30 mins in the next 30 days) to advance these priorities? (5 mins)

Breakout Room 4:

- Emma Chavez
- Heather Buch
- Caroline Cummings
- Alan Rowe
- Heather DeSart
- Pam Barlow Lind

Breakout Group Discussion (20 minutes):

- 1.) How does the survey data align with CEDS priorities? (5 mins)
(Regional collaboration & partnerships; Sector diversification through business development; Infrastructure resilience; Workforce support; Rural Vitality)

Aligned well and saw responses that addressed each of these areas. Infrastructure, Internet, and Rural Vitality. Not sure if there was much on Sector Diversification.

Saw lists of organizations, but no necessarily where there are regional collaborations and partnerships. Would like to see where partnerships are working and why.

Would be helpful to see a matrix of organizations and what their key targets are. Definitely more we can do around Infrastructure Resiliency (there is so much more to this, beyond fires for example). We just skimmed the top of possibilities in this area.

- 2.) What existing groups are advancing these priorities? Who are the champions of these priorities? Could these be working groups of the CEDS? (5 mins)

Several groups working on advancing internet (at least in Lane) and a group in Lincoln (Broadband Action Team). There are 3 local Workforce Development Boards that support some of the CEDS priority areas (Rural Vitality, Sector Diversification, Workforce Support, Regional Collaboration & Partnerships)

Mid-Valley Partnership (MVP) in (8)rural Linn and Benton cities and RAIN collaborate regionally on entrepreneurship and ecosystem-building. RAIN also collaborations regionally across all 4 counties on entrepreneurship and innovation (and has MOUs with 16 rural cities and 3 counties) These groups will likely need MOUs to be effective working groups.

Smaller communities have chambers that serve the business communities. They are not necessarily connected in the same way as larger areas. Room for opportunities here. And share board members (tend to be tapped out for capacity)

Cascade West Area Commission on Transportation + Lane (ACT) – regionally focused Infrastructure Resiliency
Transportation front (MPOs) – Metropolitan Planning Organizations (AMPO and CAMPO in Corvallis and Albany who collaborate)
Wetlands focus (Cascades West Regional Consortium)

Opportunity to create a comprehensive Asset Map across all CEDS priority areas, then develop working groups that don't have others focused on these areas (fill the gaps).

3.) What groups are needed that don't exist? (5 mins)

This would come out of the Asset Map and gap analysis around CEDS priority areas

4.) What is something you can individually do (in under 30 mins in the next 30 days) to advance these priorities? (5 mins)

Sharing the resiliency metrics to develop a more common language about where we are/where we can go. To leverage existing work. Sharing the CEDS 5-year plan with partners and cite in grant proposals and reports to show work is truly regional and strategic (especially EDA grants since it's a requirement to submit). Helps create a common regional vision.

Each organization shares their top-line metrics they track to roll-up metrics for the region and show how aligns with CEDS priorities.

Regional Economists provide economic data. Explore how their data could benefit organizations in the region and complement CEDS priority areas

Proposed CWEDD Board 2022 Meeting Dates

<p>Board Meets quarterly on the 2nd Thursday of the Month 9:00 – 11:00 am</p>
<p>February 10 May 12 August 11 November 10</p>
<p>Added Meeting Dates June Date TBD (June CARES Celebration)</p>